

Tustin Low Rise Resident Project team

Meeting Date: 18 June 2019 – Tustin TRA Room – Grasmere Point

Present		Initials	Present		Initials
Andrew Eke	Res	AE	Sophie Hall-Thompson	LBS	SHT
Francis Phillip	Res	FP	Andy Chaggar	Res	AC
Cllr Richard Livingstone		RL	Emmanuel James	Res	EJ
Neil Kirby	LBS	NK	Emma Taylor	Res	ET
Tim Cutts	LBS	TG	Mike Tyrrell	LBS	MT
Keith Malyon	Res	KM	Ray Coyle	ITLA	RC
Paulette Kelly	Res	PK	Lee Walkey	Res	LW
Alison Ralston	Res	AR			

1. Introductions around the table

2. Terms of reference

2.1 A general discussion took place on the content of the draft ToR. **AE** volunteered to adopt the draft as it is and this was supported by **PK**

3. Membership

3.1 Membership was discussed and the make-up of the RPG was agreed as per the Terms of reference. **RC** suggested that residents should not be turned away from a meeting and should be invited in as observers. This can sometimes happen at the start of a process, before a settled group of core members establishes itself.

4. Engagement Plan

4.1 **MT** said **LB** Southwark are in the process of developing a comprehensive engagement plan to go out to the wider community. This will include a monthly update newsletter after each RPG meeting

4.2 There will be a wide range of methods of consultation including drop-in sessions on the estate, public meetings when required and one to one outreach work with residents who might prefer to engage in their own homes. This consultation would continue right up until ballot and formal offer stages.

4.3 **AE** stated that time and timing are everything in the consultation process and that time has to be built in to ensure there is enough opportunity for the community to digest the information and understand the various options. **MT** stated that a Tustin web page would form part of the consultation/publicity programme.

4.4 **NK** stated that the Director of Housing will be involved in the 'launch' of the programme from Day 1.

4.5 It was agreed that publicity information would be put up on all notice boards within the blocks. **PK** suggested that consultation with the school must be included and that all consultation information should be available in formats including large print and required languages.

4.6 **AR** raised concerns about residents in any particular block being dictated to by the wider community if options or outcomes differ. **NK** stated that it is not yet clear how weighting to individual blocks will happen. **AE** stated that we are at a very early stage in the process and it will not become clear in terms of what the community would like to see until further on in the programme.

4.7 **KM** raised concerns about residents in the high rise having a say in the development of the low rise when this was not the case in the consultation of the high rise blocks.

4.8 **AC** sought clarification on the various groups on the estate and the roles they play. He then voiced concern that demolition was a potential option and that if he had not attended the meeting he would be unaware of this – and that this information needs to be introduced to the wider estate. **NK** stated that, given the negative connotations around 'regeneration' – it would be better to term it 'renewal'.

4.9 **EJ** asked if 3D models of potential outcomes would be available for residents to view. This was confirmed by **NK**.

4.10 **AE** raised the issue of wider community benefits by way of learning new skills via training and/or apprenticeships. **NK** confirmed that there would be links to LB Southwark's Apprenticeship Scheme

5 Responses to manifesto

5.1 **NK** stated that at this stage the Residents Manifesto will broadly reflect LB Southwark's policies and that it will develop in line with the programme.

6 Appointing Architects

6.1 **SHT** outlined the development of the architects brief and that this should take shape by the 1st week in July. It will ask potential partners for their experience in similar projects. Architect should be in place by September and will be appointed after a presentation and

interview process. **NK** stated that LB Southwark had a good record of appointing architects in renewal programmes.

7 Outline timetable

7.1 **SHT** confirmed that architects will start looking at options in September, up until Xmas then. More detailed work on the options will be developed between January and April 2020. An formal Offer Document should be in place by July 2020. This will be followed by the formal ballot over a 21 day ballot period.

7.2 **NK** suggested that residents could get involved in the various stages and elements of the process via sub-groups – who could then report back to the RPG.

8 Future Meetings

8.1 It was agreed to have a short workshop on shaping the Architects brief – to take place on 2nd July between 7-8PM

8.2 Date of next RPG meeting is 11th July and will continue on the 2nd Thursday of each month

Ray Coyle – 30th June 2019