## LONDON BOROUGH OF SOUTHWARK PENSION FUND PENSIONS ADVISORY PANEL

Date: 8 <sup>th</sup> March 2016	Chair Person: Fiona Colley
Time: 10am	Notes of meeting: Caroline Watson
Venue: Southwark Council	
160 Tooley St, London, SE1 2TZ	

Attendees: Cllr Fiona Colley (Chair); Cllr Eliza Mann; Cllr Jon Hartley (substitute); Duncan Whitfield; Fay Hammond; Chris Cooper; Yvonne Thompson-Hoyte; David Cullinan (State Street); Jo Holden (Mercer); Mike Ellsmore (LPB)

Item No	Item
1	Apologies: Cllr Paul Fleming;
2	Disclosures of Interest & Dispensations: None
3	The minutes of the 1 <sup>st</sup> December 2015 meeting were agreed as accurate.
4	<ul> <li>Matters Arising:</li> <li>Scheme Member Events:</li> <li>Very popular. Due to high demand an additional event was held at Tooley Street (3 in total). Some questions on investments but mainly on benefits.</li> <li>DW: recommend making it an annual event, with 2-3 held annually, starting in September 2016.</li> <li>DW: 4 training sessions being organised for senior managers and a structure to be put in place to manage queries.</li> <li>TH Real Estate Benchmark Review</li> <li>Agreed – go ahead with new benchmark subject to a review by Mercer property researchers.</li> </ul>
5	<ul> <li>Investment Update</li> <li>Update from Jo Holden (Mercer)</li> <li>Below strategic benchmark over 1 year – due to new property manager underperformance (which is expected).</li> <li>Over 1 year assets have out performed liabilities by 6.1%.</li> <li>Newton: strong performance over 3 years.</li> <li>Brockton and Frogmore: over next 6-12 months to ask them to present to PAP. No concerns at present.</li> <li>David Cullinan (State Street)</li> <li>Disappointing 1 year return but 3 and 5 year absolute returns strong.</li> <li>Asset allocation drag on performance rather than performance of asset managers. Main drag from underweight in property.</li> </ul>

6 Scheme Member Survey  • FH provided updated results. Fund investment performance needs to be better communicated. Good performance and members are not aware.  • Next Steps: FC – need to improve communications. Concerned members not aware of self service facility. Consider how some of the investments members are interested in could be adopted.  • Main challenge is publicity. In future should look to link to a process where more things can be done on line.  • Low response: difficult to use results in investment section as not representative.  • DW: consider inviting pensions representative from Environment Agency to a future PAP meeting.  • FC: Mercer to talk at next PAP meeting on low carbon investments.  • FC: consider results in next year as commence the investment strategy review. Look at investments re consistency with council policy (e.g. pay day lending and gambling).  • Action: report back on Source re survey results.  7 Local Pensions Board (LPB)  • Some of the matters which have been considered by the LPB are as follows:  — Potential conflicts of interest re investment in local property — Governance review (progress update was provided) — Transparency of investment management costs.  • Insurance: recent QC opinion has confirmed that corporate indemnity cover does not apply to the LPB.  8 LGPS Consultation on Investment Reform  • DW: LBS response cross referenced to London CIV response • PAP to note LBS response.  9 . London CIV Update  • DW: expected that the Fund's Legal and General investments will be moved to the CIV in June and BlackRock in September. • CIV has recognised need for more capacity. Two additional posts have been appointed. • Pund carrying out due diligence before investing in CIV. DW and JH meeting with CIV re due diligence questions. • Action: distribute London CIV and Unison responses to PAP members.		Newton: 1,3 and 5 years absolute returns strong. 3 year relative return best since inception.  Find her out performed the least outberity universe over 4, 3 and 5 years.
FH provided updated results. Fund investment performance needs to be better communicated. Good performance and members are not aware.  Next Steps: FC – need to improve communications. Concerned members not aware of self service facility. Consider how some of the investments members are interested in could be adopted.  Main challenge is publicity. In future should look to link to a process where more things can be done on line.  Low response: difficult to use results in investment section as not representative.  DW: consider inviting pensions representative from Environment Agency to a future PAP meeting.  FC: Mercer to talk at next PAP meeting on low carbon investments.  FC: consider results in next year as commence the investment strategy review. Look at investments re consistency with council policy (e.g. pay day lending and gambling).  Action: report back on Source re survey results.  Local Pensions Board (LPB)  Some of the matters which have been considered by the LPB are as follows:  Potential conflicts of interest re investment in local property  Governance review (progress update was provided)  Transparency of investment management costs.  Insurance: recent QC opinion has confirmed that corporate indemnity cover does not apply to the LPB.  LGPS Consultation on Investment Reform  DW: LBS response cross referenced to London CIV response  PAP to note LBS response.  London CIV Update  DW: expected that the Fund's Legal and General investments will be moved to the CIV in June and BlackRock in September.  CIV has recognised need for more capacity. Two additional posts have been appointed.  Fund carrying out due diligence before investing in CIV. DW and JH meeting with CIV re due diligence questions.  Action: distribute London CIV and Unison responses to PAP members.		Fund has out performed the local authority universe over 1, 3 and 5 years.
better communicated. Good performance and members are not aware.  Next Steps: FC – need to improve communications. Concerned members not aware of self service facility. Consider how some of the investments members are interested in could be adopted.  Main challenge is publicity. In future should look to link to a process where more things can be done on line.  Low response: difficult to use results in investment section as not representative.  DW: consider inviting pensions representative from Environment Agency to a future PAP meeting.  FC: Mercer to talk at next PAP meeting on low carbon investments.  FC: consider results in next year as commence the investment strategy review. Look at investments re consistency with council policy (e.g. pay day lending and gambling).  Action: report back on Source re survey results.  Local Pensions Board (LPB)  Some of the matters which have been considered by the LPB are as follows:  — Potential conflicts of interest re investment in local property — Governance review (progress update was provided) — Transparency of investment management costs.  Insurance: recent QC opinion has confirmed that corporate indemnity cover does not apply to the LPB.  LGPS Consultation on Investment Reform  DW: LBS response cross referenced to London CIV response PAP to note LBS response.  London CIV Update  DW: expected that the Fund's Legal and General investments will be moved to the CIV in June and BlackRock in September.  CIV has recognised need for more capacity. Two additional posts have been appointed.  Fund carrying out due diligence before investing in CIV. DW and JH meeting with CIV re due diligence questions.  Action: distribute London CIV and Unison responses to PAP members.	6	Scheme Member Survey
Some of the matters which have been considered by the LPB are as follows:  — Potential conflicts of interest re investment in local property  — Governance review (progress update was provided)  — Transparency of investment management costs.  Insurance: recent QC opinion has confirmed that corporate indemnity cover does not apply to the LPB.  LGPS Consultation on Investment Reform  • DW: LBS response cross referenced to London CIV response  • PAP to note LBS response.  DW: expected that the Fund's Legal and General investments will be moved to the CIV in June and BlackRock in September.  CIV has recognised need for more capacity. Two additional posts have been appointed.  Fund carrying out due diligence before investing in CIV. DW and JH meeting with CIV re due diligence questions.  Action: distribute London CIV and Unison responses to PAP members.  Mercer Assessment on Restructuring of Property Allocation  • Property suitability letter provides an audit trail of the appointment process.		<ul> <li>better communicated. Good performance and members are not aware.</li> <li>Next Steps: FC – need to improve communications. Concerned members not aware of self service facility. Consider how some of the investments members are interested in could be adopted.</li> <li>Main challenge is publicity. In future should look to link to a process where more things can be done on line.</li> <li>Low response: difficult to use results in investment section as not representative.</li> <li>DW: consider inviting pensions representative from Environment Agency to a future PAP meeting.</li> <li>FC: Mercer to talk at next PAP meeting on low carbon investments.</li> <li>FC: consider results in next year as commence the investment strategy review. Look at investments re consistency with council policy (e.g. pay day lending and gambling).</li> </ul>
Some of the matters which have been considered by the LPB are as follows:  — Potential conflicts of interest re investment in local property  — Governance review (progress update was provided)  — Transparency of investment management costs.  Insurance: recent QC opinion has confirmed that corporate indemnity cover does not apply to the LPB.  LGPS Consultation on Investment Reform  • DW: LBS response cross referenced to London CIV response  • PAP to note LBS response.  DW: expected that the Fund's Legal and General investments will be moved to the CIV in June and BlackRock in September.  CIV has recognised need for more capacity. Two additional posts have been appointed.  Fund carrying out due diligence before investing in CIV. DW and JH meeting with CIV re due diligence questions.  Action: distribute London CIV and Unison responses to PAP members.  Mercer Assessment on Restructuring of Property Allocation  • Property suitability letter provides an audit trail of the appointment process.		
<ul> <li>Potential conflicts of interest re investment in local property         <ul> <li>Governance review (progress update was provided)</li> <li>Transparency of investment management costs.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Insurance: recent QC opinion has confirmed that corporate indemnity cover does not apply to the LPB.</li> <li>LGPS Consultation on Investment Reform         <ul> <li>DW: LBS response cross referenced to London CIV response</li> <li>PAP to note LBS response.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Jundon CIV Update         <ul> <li>DW: expected that the Fund's Legal and General investments will be moved to the CIV in June and BlackRock in September.</li> <li>CIV has recognised need for more capacity. Two additional posts have been appointed.</li> <li>Fund carrying out due diligence before investing in CIV. DW and JH meeting with CIV re due diligence questions.</li> <li>Action: distribute London CIV and Unison responses to PAP members.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Mercer Assessment on Restructuring of Property Allocation         <ul> <li>Property suitability letter provides an audit trail of the appointment process.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	7	Local Pensions Board (LPB)
B LGPS Consultation on Investment Reform  DW: LBS response cross referenced to London CIV response PAP to note LBS response.  London CIV Update  DW: expected that the Fund's Legal and General investments will be moved to the CIV in June and BlackRock in September. CIV has recognised need for more capacity. Two additional posts have been appointed. Fund carrying out due diligence before investing in CIV. DW and JH meeting with CIV re due diligence questions. Action: distribute London CIV and Unison responses to PAP members.  Mercer Assessment on Restructuring of Property Allocation Property suitability letter provides an audit trail of the appointment process.		<ul> <li>Potential conflicts of interest re investment in local property</li> <li>Governance review (progress update was provided)</li> </ul>
DW: LBS response cross referenced to London CIV response PAP to note LBS response.  London CIV Update  DW: expected that the Fund's Legal and General investments will be moved to the CIV in June and BlackRock in September. CIV has recognised need for more capacity. Two additional posts have been appointed. Fund carrying out due diligence before investing in CIV. DW and JH meeting with CIV re due diligence questions. Action: distribute London CIV and Unison responses to PAP members.  Mercer Assessment on Restructuring of Property Allocation Property suitability letter provides an audit trail of the appointment process.		
DW: expected that the Fund's Legal and General investments will be moved to the CIV in June and BlackRock in September. CIV has recognised need for more capacity. Two additional posts have been appointed. Fund carrying out due diligence before investing in CIV. DW and JH meeting with CIV re due diligence questions. Action: distribute London CIV and Unison responses to PAP members.  Mercer Assessment on Restructuring of Property Allocation Property suitability letter provides an audit trail of the appointment process.	8	LGPS Consultation on Investment Reform
<ul> <li>DW: expected that the Fund's Legal and General investments will be moved to the CIV in June and BlackRock in September.</li> <li>CIV has recognised need for more capacity. Two additional posts have been appointed.</li> <li>Fund carrying out due diligence before investing in CIV. DW and JH meeting with CIV re due diligence questions.</li> <li>Action: distribute London CIV and Unison responses to PAP members.</li> </ul> Mercer Assessment on Restructuring of Property Allocation <ul> <li>Property suitability letter provides an audit trail of the appointment process.</li> </ul>		•
<ul> <li>the CIV in June and BlackRock in September.</li> <li>CIV has recognised need for more capacity. Two additional posts have been appointed.</li> <li>Fund carrying out due diligence before investing in CIV. DW and JH meeting with CIV re due diligence questions.</li> <li>Action: distribute London CIV and Unison responses to PAP members.</li> </ul> 10 Mercer Assessment on Restructuring of Property Allocation <ul> <li>Property suitability letter provides an audit trail of the appointment process.</li> </ul>	9	. London CIV Update
<ul> <li>with CIV re due diligence questions.</li> <li>Action: distribute London CIV and Unison responses to PAP members.</li> <li>Mercer Assessment on Restructuring of Property Allocation</li> <li>Property suitability letter provides an audit trail of the appointment process.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>the CIV in June and BlackRock in September.</li> <li>CIV has recognised need for more capacity. Two additional posts have been appointed.</li> </ul>
Property suitability letter provides an audit trail of the appointment process.		with CIV re due diligence questions.
	10	Mercer Assessment on Restructuring of Property Allocation
Page 12		Property suitability letter provides an audit trail of the appointment process.

	<ul> <li>New property manager drawdown time in line with managers' original plans.</li> <li>The way the opportunistic managers report to PAP over time will evolve (e.g. capital value vs. cash flows).</li> </ul>
11	Standing items  Actuaries Funding Update     Funding improved at December 2015.     2016 valuation will have results on a like for like basis for first time.  Training schedule     Actuarial training at June PAP meeting noted.  Pension Service Team update     Report noted     ML: data improvement exercise being organised (main issues with Southwark schools).     ML report to include a statistics table showing quarter on quarter results for benchmarking.  Responsible Investment & Engagement Monitor     Report noted     Mercer to provide details of BlackRock's ESG rating next time they attend PAP.
12	AOB: None